# On-line questionnaire ERA Framework Public Consultation :

# Areas of untapped potential for the development of the European Research Area (ERA)

#### 1. Publication of data

A synthesis of your contributions received via this online questionnaire, as well as any individual contributions, together with your identity or the identity of your organisation/affiliation, will be published on the web.

Therefore, in order to take part in this consultation, you must indicate prior agreement to the publication of your personal data (opt-in).

I agree (opt-in) I disagree

### 2. Information about the respondent

2.1) I am replying as/on behalf of a (if you represent more than one category, please choose the most relevant one):

(at most one answer)

[national government, regional/local government, research funding organisation, public research organisation, university/ higher education, doctoral candidate/early-stage researcher, experienced researcher, citizen, private organisation (less than 250 employees), private organisation (250 or more employees), international organisation, other (please specify [free text maximum 250 characters)]

- 2.2) Please indicate your field of work (more than one may be indicated)
  [Agriculture, Biotechnology, Energy, Environment, Security, Transport, Food, Health, Industrial technology, Socioeconomic sciences and humanities, International co-operation, Nanotechnology, policy making/management, Regional development, Research infrastructures, Space, Other (please specify below -free text maximum 250 characters)]
- 2.3) Please provide your name/organisation's name (will be published) (if you are responding as a citizen, enter "citizen")

  [free text] (between 2 and 100 characters)
- 2.4) Please provide your email address (will not be published) [free text] (between 5 and 100 characters)
- 2.5) Please provide your country of residence/establishment [Austria, Belgium etc., EU level organisation, other [drop-down menu]] (at most 1 answer)
- 2.6) Have you or do you intend to submit an additional separate written contribution? (maximum 10 pages) [yes, no]

# 3. Introduction

If there are any data/facts/surveys of your country/region/organisation available to underpin your answer to any of the questions in the questionnaire, please provide a reference or a hyperlink if available.

The Heads of State and Government of the European Union have called for the measures necessary to achieve a unified European Research Area (ERA) to be put in place by 2014.

3.1) Can you indicate what are the most important gaps to be filled for the achievement of ERA, and where the European Union should step up its efforts most urgently? (please rank each area according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Researchers' careers and mobility						
Research infrastructures						
Cross-border operation of research actors						
Knowledge transfer						
Open Access						
International dimension						
Cross-cutting governance issues						
Other, to be filled in (max 200 words)						

3.2) Which areas will be an issue for longer to	erm efforts at EU level, even beyond 2014?
Please explain (600 characters)	

\_\_\_\_\_

#### 4. Researchers

Please respond from either a national or a European perspective, as appropriate.

# **Supply of well-qualified researchers**

- 4.1) The European research system/your national research system <u>produces</u> sufficient numbers of leading researchers.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.2) The European research system/your national research system <u>attracts</u> sufficient numbers of leading researchers.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.3) The European research system/your national research system <u>retains</u> sufficient numbers of leading researchers.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.4) University curricula at undergraduate level reflect emerging disciplines and markets.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.5) Doctoral training in Europe/your country is of high quality.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.6) Researchers in Europe/your country are well trained for the <u>academic</u> labour market.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.7) Researchers in Europe/your country are well trained for the <u>business</u> labour market.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

#### Attractiveness of researchers' careers

- 4.8) The <u>working conditions</u> of public sector researchers are as attractive as those of other professionals with similar qualifications.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.9) The <u>career prospects</u> for public sector researchers are as attractive as for other professionals with similar qualifications.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.10) The career prospects for private sector researchers are as attractive as for academics with similar qualifications.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

If your response is C) or D) to Questions 10, 11 or 12:

# 4.11) The low level of attractiveness of research careers is caused by the following factors:

(please rank each factor according to importance : 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
I imited evailability of research positions in	1		3	+	)	140 Ohimon
Limited availability of research positions in academia						
Limited availability of research positions in the						
private sector						
Lack of information about vacancies						
Inadequate <u>public</u> equipment/infrastructures for						
performing top-level research						
Absence of adequate <u>private</u>						
equipment/infrastructures for performing top-						
level research						
Lack of career prospects and development						
opportunities						
Insufficient possibilities for participation in						
decision-making processes						
The profession of researcher is not well recognised						
Gender discrimination is present, especially in						
senior positions						
Gender discrimination is present, especially in the						
remuneration of researchers						
There are relatively low wages in academia as						
compared to other sectors						
Lack of social security coverage						
Universities and research institutions are underfunded						
Universities and research institutions do not have						
sufficient autonomy to recruit researchers and set						
wages						
Academia and the private sector do not cooperate						
sufficiently						
Research departments in academia / public						
research organisations do not cooperate						
sufficiently						
The European Charter for Researchers and the						
Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of						
Researchers are not sufficiently known or						
implemented						
The Human Resources Strategy for Researchers						
(that aims to facilitate the implementation of the						
Charter and Code) is not sufficiently known						
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)						

# Transnational and inter-sectorial mobility of researchers in Europe

# 4.12) The mobility of researchers across borders is hampered in Europe because:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
There are not enough fellowships and grants						
specifically aimed at mobility						
Publicly-funded grants are, as a general rule,						
not easily portable						
The funding sources in other EU countries are						
not accessible to non-nationals / non-residents						
Recruitment procedures are not sufficiently						
open and transparent						
Mobility is not recognised positively in the						
career path						
There is a lack of information on social security						
and pension rights						
Rights under EU law related to social security						
and pension are not adequately enforced						
Rights under EU law related to social security						
and pension are not sufficient						
Diplomas are not easily recognised in other						
countries						
Immigration rules and procedures can be						
burdensome and complicated						
It is difficult to settle into a host country						
(accommodation, family arrangements, etc.)						
Universities and research institutions do not						
have sufficient autonomy to recruit researchers						
and set wages						
The European Charter for Researchers and the						
Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of						
Researchers are not sufficiently known or						
implemented						
The Human Resources Strategy for Researchers				_		
(that aims to facilitate the implementation of the						
Charter and Code) is not sufficiently known						
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)						

# 4.13) Grants are not easily portable for the following reasons:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important)

|--|

Funders want to keep control over their funds			
Institutions want to keep their staff			
Institutions face legal and administrative			
barriers			
Lack of clear and transparent transfer			
conditions			
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)			

# 4.14) The recruitment of public sector researchers is transparent and open.

A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

If your response is C) or D):

# 4.15) Recruitment is not transparent and open for the following reasons:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No opinion
The existence of	715100			Disagree	оринон
national/regional/university					
level rules prevents it from					
being so					
There is no obligation for it					
to be so					
Explicit policy choice on					
the part of the hiring					
institution					
Lack of a Human					
Resources strategy in					
institutions					
Additional workload for					
Human Resources					
departments					
Legal uncertainty					
associated with					
transparency (e.g. risk of					
increased complaints)					
Protectionism/nepotism					
Lack of awareness of job					
portals such as					
EURAXESS Jobs					
Other (to be filled in - max					
200 characters)					

- 4.16) It is easy for public sector researchers to move to the private sector.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion
- 4.17) It is easy for private sector researchers to move to the public sector.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

4.18) Have you experienced a specific initiative(s) which failed or was hampered by o or more of the issues mentioned in this 'Researchers' section?	ne
Could you please describe (600 characters)?	
4.19) Given the ensemble of issues addressed in this 'Researchers' section, which action other than funding, is needed at EU level to remedy some of these issues?  Could you please describe (600 characters)?	n,
Any additional comments on the section Researchers:	

# **5.** Cross-border operation of research actors

Please note that in the questionnaire 'cross-border operation' covers any research initiative by research actors (research performing organisations, funding agencies and foundations and researchers) with a transnational character within the EU, e.g. research programme coordination between Member States, coordinated calls between Member States, coordination of research organisations' programmes, etc.

of research organisations' programmes, etc.
5.1) What is the optimal degree of transnational operation of research actors needed to jointly tackle major societal challenges (e.g. scale of effort, budget level)? Please explain (600 characters)
5.2) At what stages of research and development phase, or for which specific activities do you think a more coordinated approach is necessary and would be more suitable that at national level?  Please explain (600 characters)
5.3. What are the most appropriate mechanisms for making progress in cross-border operation (e.g. joint research programmes between Member States, research alliances involving research institutes)?  Please explain (600 characters)
5.4) What conditions need to be in place for national funding agencies to increase their support to research carried out through joint research programmes?  Please explain (600 characters)
5.5) Describe which specific factors (can) <u>facilitate</u> the cross border operation of join research programmes implemented by funding agencies, research-performing organisations including universities, etc.  Please describe (600 characters)
5.6) Describe which specific factors (can) <u>hamper</u> the cross border operation of research programmes implemented by funding agencies, research-performing organisations including universities, etc.  Please describe(600 characters)
5.7) How can isint research programmes heat he implemented (e.g. or ordination of

5.7) How can joint research programmes best be implemented (e.g. co-ordination of nationally selected and implemented projects, transnational consortia selected by international peer review but funded nationally, transnational consortia selected by international peer review funded through a "common pot")?

# 5.8) Potential difficulties with cross-border operation are caused by the following factors:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Lack of availability of systematic information on						
national programmes or other initiatives						
suitable for cross-border operation						
Lack of mechanisms that enable cross-border						
research projects to access national project						
funding						
Lack of common principles for evaluation,						
selection and funding of transnational research						
projects						
<b>Insufficient commitment from Member States to</b>						
transnational coordinated research						
Insufficient commitment of financial resources						
when the implementation of coordinated						
programmes can only be achieved by pooling of						
resources						
Lack of trust in transnational cooperation at the						
level of researchers						
Lack of incentives in transnational cooperation						
at the level of researchers						
Lack of trust in transnational cooperation at the						
level of research funding agencies						
Lack of incentives in transnational cooperation						
at the level of research funding agencies						
Lack of incentives in transnational cooperation						
at the level of research organisations						
Lack of trust in transnational cooperation at the						
level of research organisations						
Other (to be filled in , max 200 characters)						

5.9) Have you experienced a specific initiative(s)	) which	failed	or	was	hamper	ed 1	by	one
or more of the above-mentioned issues?								
Could you please describe (600 characters)?								

Could you please describe (600 chai	acters).

5.10) Given the ensemble of issues addressed in this section 'Cross-border operation', which action, other than funding, is needed at EU level to remedy some of these issues? Could you please describe (600 characters)?

\_\_\_\_\_

Any additional comments on the section Cross border operations of research:

### 6. Research infrastructures

6.1) Potential difficulties for an optimum exploitation of existing research infrastructures of pan-European interest might be decreased by acting on the following factors:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

Mapping, on a regular basis, the existing national research infrastructures of pan-European relevance  Reinforcing their open, excellence-based access through			
Reinforcing their open excellence-based access through			
improvements of national research infrastructures policies			
and programmes			
Increased EU support for transnational access to research			
infrastructures of pan-European relevance			
Developing more synergies between European and national			
actions			
Strengthening inter-operability of instruments and of			
scientific data at EU level			
Increasing awareness of access opportunities to researchers			
in all EU countries offered by existing national research			
infrastructures			
Increasing awareness of access opportunities of national			
and pan-European research infrastructures to industrial			
stakeholders			
Improving management of the existing research			
infrastructures, e.g. through more EU-wide training			
activities			
Fostering remote access to research facilities, e.g. through			
the efficient development of appropriate e-infrastructures			
Developing harmonized evaluation / assessment protocols			
(at EU level) for national research infrastructures			
Improving training of scientists to manage and exploit			
research infrastructures			
Other recommendations (to be filled in - max 200			
characters)			

6.2) Potential difficulties for the realisation of the next generation of research infrastructures of pan-European interest might be decreased by acting on the following factors:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No
						opinion
Increased public funding						

Increased role of the EU in helping Member States to reach						
agreement on sharing costs of construction and operation						
Developing more harmonized rules between public research						
funding and structural funds						
Increasing use of private funds for the implementation and						
operation of research infrastructures						
Revision of the state aid rules to ease public investments in research infrastructures						
More extensive and quality-based <i>ex ante</i> assessment						
studies to generate greater support from the decision-						
makers						
Improving the functioning of the European Strategy Forum						
on Research Infrastructures (ESFRI)						
Improving training of research infrastructure managers as						
well as of coordinators of large-scale projects						
Stimulating development of distributed facilities through						
increased inter-operability of instruments and/or of						
scientific data						
Setting-up Regional Partner Facilities to involve and exploit						
the potential for scientific excellence and technological						
growth of convergence and outermost regions  Integrating national research infrastructures into pan-						
European entities						
Allowing the EU to speak with one coherent voice at						
international level						
Other recommendations (to be filled in , max 200 characters)						
6.3) How could, in your opinion, national, regional and	_			_		
infrastructures best contribute to raising the quality of the	res	ear	ch	bas	e a	cross the
ERA?						
Could you please describe (600 characters)?						
6.4) Have you experienced specific initiatives which failed or	wer	e h	amı	per	ed 1	by one or
more of the issues mentioned in this research infrastructures s	ectio	on?	-	_		•
Could you please describe (600 characters)?						
6.5) Given the ensemble of issues addressed in this section '	Dog	റെ മം	oh :	nfr	nct.	ruoturos!
which action, other than funding, is needed at EU level to remo						
Could you please describe (600 characters)?	uj	II	0	_ (11		inducti.
Any additional comments on the section Research infrastructures:						

7.	Knowledge	circulation:	knowledge	transfer and	open access
	iniomicusc	cii cuiuuioii.	micuse	u andre and	open access

# **Knowledge transfer**

### 7.1) Knowledge transfer can be optimised by acting on the following factors:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Increasing the awareness amongst researchers						
about Intellectual Property Rules and Knowledge						
Transfer opportunities						
Stimulating the <u>development</u> of national strategies						
and policies on KT						
Stimulating the <u>implementation</u> of national						
strategies and policies on KT						
Incentivizing universities and public research						
organisations to develop strong KT strategies and						
structures						
Incentivizing universities and public research						
organisations to <u>implement</u> KT strategies						
Strengthening Knowledge Transfer Offices						
Further developing existing KT guidelines at						
European level						
Making the existing KT guidelines binding						
Developing European legislation on KT						
Creating patent pools in Europe						
Making KT activities a criterion for job						
assessment and promotion						
Monitoring KT on a regular basis in Europe						
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)						

# 7.2) Private firms experience difficulties in finding public research results or competences.

A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

If your response is A) or B):

7.3)	In	what	ways,	and	what	remedies	could	there	be?
D1		1 .	1000			`			

Please explain (600 characters)

\_\_\_\_\_

7.4) How should a strategic relationship between the public research sector and the private sector best be established and strengthened?

Could v	you please	describe	(600)	characters)	19
Could	vou bicasc	ucscribe	l UUU l	Juana Cici S	, .

\_\_\_\_\_

7.5) Have you experienced specific initiatives whemore of the issues mentioned in this knowledge to Could you please describe (600 characters)?						e hampered by one or
7.6) Given the ensemble of issues addressed in the action, other than funding, is needed at EU level to Could you please describe (600 characters)?						_
Any additional comments on the section on Knowled	lge '	Trai	ısfei	r:		
Open access						
Please note that the European Commission Recommendation on access to and preservation of were consulted via an on-line survey on scientific September 2011. The outcome of this survey will be ERA Framework. The following questions are mactions.  Please note also that 'open access' in this question online access to research publications and/or data.	digi info e ta ore	tal i orma ken spe	inforation into	rma 1 op 2 ac 2 to	tion pen cou the	. All interested partied between 15 July and 9 nt when developing the remit of ERA policy
7.7) <b>Do you think that the circulation of sciention publications needs to be improved in the Europea</b> A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strong	an F	Rese	arc	h A	reaʻ	?
7.8) Do you think that the circulation of scientificate needs to be improved in the European Research A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strong	ırch	ı Ar	ea?			
7.9) Do you think that open access (free online accan enhance knowledge circulation in the Europe A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strong	an	Res	earc	ch A	rea	?
7.10) Please assess the following potential barri through open access to publications and/or data in 1-Strongly agree, 2-Agree, 3-Disagree, 4-Strongly d	n tł	ie E	uro	pea	n R	esearch Area:
	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Researchers are not sufficiently aware of the issue of open access to <u>publications</u> .						T
Decorphore are not sufficiently aware of the						

issue of open access to data.

rs working to advance open access fail to iate collectively with scholarly publishers. copyright and ownership rules do not iently address the specificities of the area search and science.  WAT rules for digital publications are isfactory.  sitories for publications across Europe	
copyright and ownership rules do not iently address the specificities of the area search and science.  VAT rules for digital publications are isfactory.  sitories for publications across Europe	
iently address the specificities of the area search and science.  VAT rules for digital publications are isfactory.  sitories for publications across Europe	
vearch and science.  VAT rules for digital publications are isfactory.  sitories for publications across Europe	
VAT rules for digital publications are isfactory. sitories for publications across Europe	
isfactory. sitories for <u>publications</u> across Europe	
sitories for <u>publications</u> across Europe	
ot sufficiently interoperable.	
sitories for data across Europe are not	
iently interoperable.	
pan-European e-infrastructure for	
siting scientific publications and data is	
ficient.	
ber States' policies on open access to	
cations are insufficient.	
ber States' policies on open access to data	
sufficient.	
ber States' policies on open access to	
cations and data are insufficiently co-	
r (to be filled in , max 200 characters)	
ated in the European Research Area.  It (to be filled in , max 200 characters)  Have you experienced specific initiatives which fair of the issues mentioned in this 'open access' section	_
you please describe (600 characters)?	

Any additional comments on the section on open access:

14

#### 8. International dimension

Please note that 'third countries' are considered in this questionnaire as countries that are not members of the European Union. 'International cooperation' S&T in this context means scientific and technological cooperation with third countries.

#### Coordination of international S&T actions

8.1) How could the Member States and the EU better coordinate their international S&T cooperation actions and policies so as to bring more benefits to both individual Member States and the EU overall?

Could	you	please	describe	(600	characters)	?

8.2) A risk of <u>duplication</u> of the international S&T cooperation activities between the Member States and the EU is due to the following factors:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Information sharing by Member States with each						
other and with the EU about their international						
S&T policies and actions is insufficient /						
inadequate						
The international R&D policies and programmes						
of the EU and of the Member States are not						
sufficiently coordinated with each other						
There are insufficient possibilities for researchers						
and research organisations of one Member State						
to participate in the international cooperation						
programmes of other Member States						
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)						

# 8.3) A lack of critical European S&T mass at the global level is due to the following factors:

(please rank them according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Too few EU Member States have dedicated						
international S&T cooperation strategies						
The international research cooperation priorities						
and initiatives of the Member States continue to						
be primarily driven by national objectives						
The international S&T policies and programmes						
of the EU and of the Member States are not						
sufficiently coordinated with each other						

There is a lack of mechanisms for EU and			
Member States' international S&T policies and			
programmes to reinforce each other			
Sensitive technological sectors prevent Member			
States from opening up their international policies			
and activities towards each other			
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)	•		

8.4) The Member States and the EU do not derive the maximum benefit from their international S&T cooperation activities with third countries due to the following factors:

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No
						opinion
The international S&T policies and programmes of the						
EU and of the Member States are not sufficiently						
coordinated with each other						
European scientists and research organisations have no						
or insufficient reciprocal access to the scientific and						
technological programmes of third countries						
There are no common guidelines for EU scientists and						
research organisations for the application of rules on the						
ownership of, and access to, intellectual property						
resulting from cooperation with third countries						
There is a lack of coordinated initiatives by the EU and						
the Member States to remove access barriers to third						
countries' markets, to facilitate standardisation, and to						
ensure access to public procurement in third countries						
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)						

# Attractiveness of Europe as an S&T location

8.5) The global attractiveness of Europe as an S&T location (for researchers, companies, and capital) is decreasing.

A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

If your response is A) or B):

8.6) The attractiveness of Europe as an S&T location (for researchers, companies, and capital) could be increased by the following factors:

(please rank them according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Making Europe's labour market conditions						
and policies for researchers more attractive						

Reducing the fragmentation of the European market, including employment conditions		
Improving employment and career prospects for researchers in the EU		
Enabling third-country researchers to obtain long-term visas, including working visas, more easily in the EU than in other countries		
Other (to be filled in , max 200 characters)		

long-term visas, including working visas, more						
easily in the EU than in other countries						
Other (to be filled in , max 200 characters)						
8.7) Have you experienced a specific initiative(s) or more of the above-mentioned issues?	which	ı fail	ed or	was ha	mpered	by one
Could you please describe (600 characters)?						
8.8) Given the ensemble of issues addressed in which action, other than funding, is needed at EU Could you please describe (600 characters)?						

9.	Managing and	monitoring	the ERA	partnership,	Cross-cutting	issues and	next ste	ps

# Managing and monitoring the ERA partnership

9.1) How can ERA best contribute to reducing the current research and innovation gap within Europe and the large disparities between research systems at national and regional level?

Please explain (600 characters)

9.2) What structures and processes at the level of policy development, coordination, implementation are required to achieve the well functioning of ERA at national and/or EU level?

Could you please describe (600 characters)?

-----

9.3) What structures and processes at the level of policy development, coordination, implementation are required to monitor and evaluate progress of ERA initiatives?

Could you please describe (600 characters)?

-----

- 9.4) The involvement of stakeholders (research performing organizations including universities, funding agencies, researchers, private sector, civil society etc.) in participatory ERA policy processes (fixing objectives, priorities, monitoring, etc.) needs to increase substantially.
- A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

If your response is A) or B) please respond to 9.5) and 9.6):

9.5) What would have been the potential gains resulting from a higher level of involvement of stakeholders?

Please explain (600 characters)

\_\_\_\_\_

9.6) What is the best way for providing stronger bottom-up input by stakeholders (research performing organisations including universities, funding agencies, researchers, private sector, civil society etc.) to the processes and structures for ERA policy development and implementation?

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No
						opinion
Establishing an ERA stakeholders' platform						
Sharing more information with stakeholders (e.g. ERA						
portal Forum)						
Active participation of stakeholders in dedicated working						

groups			
Other (to be filled in , max 200 characters)			

# 9.7) At what level does the achievement and implementation of ERA require strengthened political commitment?

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No
						opinion
Strengthened political commitment at regional/national						
levels						
Strengthened political commitment both at national and						
EU levels						
Strengthened political commitment at EU level						
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)						

# 9.8) In what ways should national governments include the European dimension when deciding their research policies and allocation of budgets?

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No
						opinion
Systematic inclusion of dedicated EU research policy						
content in national research policy programmes/						
documents						
Systematic inclusion of dedicated ERA-related policy in						
National Reform Programmes						
Fixed dedicated research budget lines allocated to EU						
initiatives						
Other (to be filled in , max 200 characters)						

9.9) Considering the whole ensemble of ERA-related issues addressed in this consultation, what is the best way to develop ERA, beyond funding measures, in order to have everything in place for the completion of ERA by 2014?

Could you please describe (600 characters)?

\_\_\_\_\_

9.10) The ERA Framework would have to insist on the primacy of a number of principles such as non-discrimination, equal opportunity, transparency, subsidiarity and proportionality. What other cross-cutting principles and conditions among those listed below should be enshrined or fostered in the ERA Framework?

(please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

		1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Balance between coordination, cooperation a competition	and						

Diversity			
Simplicity, low administrative burden			
Scientific autonomy, freedom of research			
Scientific integrity, ethical principles			
Trust and dialogue between science and society			
Balanced EU-wide development and inclusiveness,			
openness			
Sustainability, environmental responsibility			
Precautionary principle			
Gender aspects in research			
Other (to be filled in, max 200 characters)			

### Gender and ethics

- 9.11) A higher involvement of women in science will increase the impact of research on European socio-economic growth
- A) Strongly agree B) Agree C) Disagree D) Strongly disagree E) No opinion
- 8.12) Mixed research teams enhance the quality and relevance of research outcomes.
- A) Strongly agree B) Agree C) Disagree D) Strongly disagree E) No opinion
- 9.13) Progress in achieving gender balance and integrating gender dimension in research content has been slow. Please assess the relevance of the following possible factors: (please rank each factor according to importance: 1-not important, 2-not very important, 3-medium importance, 4-important, 5-very important.)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
The benefits of gender equality are not sufficiently						
acknowledged by the scientific community						
Research institutions do not have gender equality						
strategies and/or adequate capacities to implement						
them						
Lack of top-level support in research institutions						
Slow progress in modernisation of research						
institutions						
Lack of collaboration between Member States'						
policies						
Inconsistent top level policy support to implement gender equality in science						
Inadequate implementation of policy related to						
gender in research						
Lack of quota						
General persistence of gender stereotypes on the						
labour market						
Other (to be filled in - max 200 characters)						

9.14) How could EU policy on gender in research be made more effective?

Could you please describe (600 characters)?	)
---	---

9.15) There should be a common European approach and practices to research ethics and scientific integrity.

A) Strongly agree, B) Agree, C) Disagree, D) Strongly disagree, E) No opinion

Any additional comments on the section Managing and monitoring the ERA partnership and cross-cutting issues: