

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

## Consensus Report (CR)

**IMPORTANT:**

*We believe this proposal is relevant ("in scope") because it addresses, fully or partially, a topic that is open in the call: It conforms to any special conditions set out in those parts of the relevant work programme, and it corresponds to an eligible funding scheme.*

**YES:** *The consensus scores and comments are given below under each criterion.*

**NO:** *This proposal is "out of scope" because:*

*If no, evaluator's comments will be inserted here.*

**SCORING**

*Scores must be in the range 0-5. Half marks may be given.*

**Interpretation of the scores:**

**0** –*The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.*

**1** –*Very poor. The criterion is addressed in a cursory and unsatisfactory manner.*

**2** –*Poor. There are serious inherent weaknesses in relation to the criterion in question.*

**3** –*Fair. While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses that would need correcting.*

**4** –*Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, although certain improvements are possible.*

**5** –*Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.*

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<b>1. Technical excellence</b>  <i>Comments:</i>	<b>Score 1:</b> <i>Threshold 3/5</i>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<b>2. Innovative character</b>  <i>Comments:</i>	<b>Score 2:</b> <i>Threshold 3/5</i>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<p><b>3. Compliancy with the Call for Proposals specification and timetable (relevance)</b></p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p>	<p><b>Score 3:</b> <i>Threshold 3/5</i></p>
---	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<p><b>4. Adequacy and quality of respondent's resources, management and implementation capabilities and track record</b></p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p>	<p><b>Score 4:</b> <i>Threshold 3/5</i></p>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<p><b>5. Appropriateness and efficient allocation of the resources to be committed (budget, staff, equipment)</b></p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p>	<p><b>Score 5:</b> <i>Threshold 3/5</i></p>
---	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<b>6. Contribution to European Competitiveness</b>  <i>Comments:</i>	<b>Score 6:</b> <i>Threshold 3/5</i>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

**Total score**  
**(1+2+3+4+5+6)**  
*Threshold 20/30*

--

**Any other remarks**  
*e.g. recommendations for negotiation, only if the proposal is above threshold*

Does this proposal raise ethical issues? <i>(If so please complete an ethical issues report form (EIR))</i>	YES	NO
--	-----	----

	Name	Signature	Date
Moderator			
Topic Manager			
Expert <i>(rapporteur)</i>			
Expert			
Expert			
Expert			



**CLEAN SKY: RESEARCH and TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPEMENT PROJECTS**

**Consensus report: Collaborative project**  
**Call identifier: SP1-JTI-CLEAN SKY-2009-1**

<b>Proposal No. :</b>	<b>Proposal acronym :</b>
<b>Call topic</b>	